

MR. BROWN: And our view was that the only thing that prevented the sale by these children was this paper which has not appeared here.

THE COURT: Here is a man that says no. So anyone can move for a partition: any one of the parties.

MR. BROWN: I understand that.

THE COURT: And he says in his opinion there can be no agreement among the parties; he says that from his own standpoint.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: So he has the right to partition. That is the very right--

MR. BROWN: I am not questioning that right.

THE COURT: Then why are you going through a rigmarole and running up a big record here that would only put more cost and expense on the parties?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: Because, after it is all in I still have to go back and say they have the right to partition.

MR. BROWN: All right.

THE COURT: There is no way out of it. Do you have a client engaged in farming?

MR. BROWN: The only thing I would like to prove is that the appearance of this paper, which has not appeared in Court--

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, that is all over. I am trying to get the value of the property.

MR. BROWN: What the value is.

THE COURT: It has to be sold at public sale and on the premises, if that is what you desire.

MR. TEBBS: Yes.